## Substitution Effects in Kinetically Controlled Reactions
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A simple method for determination of relative reactivity of functional groups of polyfunctional substances in the form of a kinetic rate constant ratio is shown to be defective especially for reactiuns carried out to a high degree of substitution. A general scheme of kinetic rate equations implying substitution effects by functional groups upon reactivity of unsubstituted ones is developed for an irreversible reaction of a trifunctional substance. The method is exemplified for Kuhn methylation of methyl $\beta$-D-xylopyranoside. Good agreement of the proposed model with experimental results is shown, which facilitates the expression of substitution effects by virtue of free activation energy changes. A convenient method of numerical analysis of experimental results is developed which simplifies complicated least squares procedures considerably.

Quantitative evaluation of relative reactivities of functional groups of a polyfunctional substance given by a simple relation of kinetic rate constants appears to be imperfect for several types of reactions. More additional parameters are necessary for the total description of kinetic behaviour of such a substance than a few rate constants whose number would be equal to that of the
functional groups. The conversion rate of a group often depends on whether or not the other groups of the same molecule have reacted. Kinetic equations should therefore be fitted by coefficients allowing for the influence of reacted functional groups of the same molecule,

Etherification of carbohydrates may be taken as an
example. For example the reactivity of the 3 -hydroxygroup in methylation of xylan ${ }^{1}$ and amylose ${ }^{2}$ in the presence of aqueous alkali is doubled, once the 2 -hydroxy-group has been methylated. A similar effect was observed for the reaction of some glucose derivatives with dimethyl sulphate ${ }^{2}$ and with 2-(diethylamino)ethyl hydrogen sulphate. ${ }^{3}$ Even larger changes in activities of hydroxy-groups of glucosides caused by the individual substitution of the 2 - and 3 -hydroxy-groups have been found in the reaction with $N N$-dimethylaziridinium chloride. ${ }^{4}$

An effect of the change in free activation energy $\Delta G_{i, j}$ induced by conversion of other groups of the same polyfunctional molecule is usually called the substitution effect ${ }^{5}$ (s.e.) or neighbouring group effect. ${ }^{4}$

A special case of s.e., viz. when the change $\Delta G_{i, j}$ is proportional to the number of reacted functional groups and called the linear substitution effect, has been solved theoretically for a kinetically controlled reaction. ${ }^{5}$ A generalization of such a mathematical treatment consisted in admitting that $\Delta G_{i, j}$ need not be proportional to the number of reacted functional groups. ${ }^{6}$ The simplifying assumption of superposition of individual increments of $\Delta G_{i, j}$ as well as the principle of equal initial reactivity of functional groups still remained in the latter approach. Thus the theory is not applicable e.g. to kinetically controlled reactions of carbohydrates.

A kinetic model free of the aforementioned simplifications describing the behaviour of a substance entering the reaction with as much as three functional groups is developed in the present paper. Comparison of the theory with experimental results ${ }^{6}$ gives the s.e. contributions to the standard free energy of forming any species of the reaction mixture for Kuhn methylation of $\beta$-D-methylxyloside. A modification of the least squares method for evaluation of experimental curves is suggested for this purpose.

## THEORY

Regarding a substance with three functional groups a-c whose reactivity need not be mutually independent, one should consider nine distinct s.e. coefficients expressing this dependence, viz. $N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}, N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}, N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}}, N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}, N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}}$, $N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}}, N_{\mathrm{ab}}, N_{\mathrm{ac}}, N_{\mathrm{bc}}$, where the subscripts separated by commas refer to both the substituted functions and to those entering the reaction. They are defined by the respective change of free activation energy by relationships (la and b) etc. $\Delta G_{a, b}$ Denotes an increment of the

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} & =\exp -\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} / R T\right)  \tag{la}\\
N_{\mathrm{ab}} & =\exp -\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{ab}} / R T\right) \tag{lb}
\end{align*}
$$

free activation energy of substitution of a trifunctional

[^0]substance to the second degree, when the functional group a has already been substituted and a new substitution is occurring on function b. Similarly, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ab}}$ refers to the third substitution taking place on c . The choice of a reference value for the above increments follows from the definitions; it is the free activation energy $G_{0, i}$ of the corresponding conversion of function $i$ which takes place on the unsubstituted species at the temperature of the reaction system. Hence equation (2)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}=G_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}-G_{0, \mathrm{~b}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

applies where $G_{a, b}$ denotes the free activation energy of conversion of function $b$, taking place on a species in which a is already converted.
S.e. coefficients are taken as correction factors to the rate constants for first- or second-order equations, the latter taking the forms (3)-(6). $\quad k_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}, k_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}$, and $k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s_{0} / \mathrm{d} t & =-\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}\right) s_{0} s_{\mathrm{R}}  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{d} t & =k_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime} s_{0} s_{\mathrm{R}}-\left(k_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}+k_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}\right) s_{\mathrm{a}} s_{\mathrm{R}}  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathrm{d} t & =\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}} s_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} s_{\mathrm{a}}-k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{ab}} s_{\mathrm{ab}}\right) s_{\mathrm{R}}  \tag{5}\\
\mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{abc}} / \mathrm{d} t & =\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{bc}} s_{\mathrm{bc}}+k_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{ac}} s_{\mathrm{ac}}+k_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} N_{\mathrm{ab}} s_{\mathrm{ab}}\right) s_{\mathrm{R}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

the absolute rate constants of the conversion of functionalities $a, b$, and $c$, respectively, $s_{0}$ denotes the molar fraction of the parent (unsubstituted) substance, and $s_{\mathrm{R}}$ the molar fraction of reagent. Concentrations of the remaining substances $s_{a}, s_{a b}, s_{a b c}$, etc. are indexed according to the respective functional groups reacted; all of them are related to the initial molar amount of the substrate, i.e. $s_{0}(t=0)=1$, where $t$ is time. Expressions for $\mathrm{d} s_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{ac}} / \mathrm{d} t$, and $\mathrm{d} s_{\mathrm{cb}} / \mathrm{d} t$ may be obtained by simple variation of the subscripts in equations (4) and (5).

The introduction of dimensionless magnitudes (7)-(9)

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{\mathrm{a}}=k_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} /\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& k_{\mathrm{b}}=k_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime} /\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& k_{\mathrm{c}}=k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime} /\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for the rate constants is more convenient for the following treatment.

A routine solution of the rate equations (see refs. 5 and 6) requires elimination of time by dividing them by equation (3) and subsequent integration. It stands to reason that whether the reaction order is first or second is immaterial because $s_{\mathrm{R}}$ cancels. Thus equations (10)-(16) are produced with the definitions (17)-(22). This conception represents a generalization of the

[^1]principles of a kinetically controlled s.e. ${ }^{5}$ in the following ways.

The initial reactivity of functional groups need not be equal, i.e. $k_{\mathrm{a}} \neq k_{\mathrm{b}} \neq k_{\mathrm{c}}$. The values of the free activation energy changes need not be proportional to the

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{\mathrm{a}}=k_{\mathrm{a}} s_{0}\left(s_{0}{ }^{x_{\mathrm{a}}-1}-1\right) /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& s_{\mathrm{b}}=k_{\mathrm{b}} s_{0}\left(s_{0}{ }^{x_{\mathrm{b}}-1}-1\right) /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& s_{0}=k_{\mathrm{c}} s_{0}\left(s_{0}{ }^{x_{\mathrm{c}}-1}-\mathbf{1}\right) /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& s_{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{b}}=\left(s_{0}{ }^{x_{\mathrm{a}}}-s_{0}{ }^{y_{\mathrm{ab}}}\right) N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(y_{\mathrm{ab}}-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)+ \\
& \left(s_{0} x_{\mathrm{b}}-s_{0} y_{\mathrm{ab}}\right) N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}} /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(y_{\mathrm{ab}}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+ \\
& \left(s_{0}-s_{0}{ }^{y_{\mathrm{ab}}}\right)\left[N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right] / \\
& \left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(1-y_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& s_{\mathrm{ac}} / k_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(s_{0}{ }^{x_{\mathrm{a}}}-s_{0} y_{\mathrm{ac}}\right) N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}} /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(y_{\mathrm{ac}}-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)+ \\
& \left(s_{0} x_{\mathrm{c}}-s_{0} y_{\mathrm{ac}}\right) N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}} /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(y_{\mathrm{ac}}-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+ \\
& \left(s_{0}-s_{0}{ }^{y_{a c}}\right)\left[N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right] / \\
& \left(1-x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(1-y_{\mathrm{ac}}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& s_{\mathrm{bc}} / k_{\mathrm{b}} k_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(s_{0} x_{\mathrm{b}}-s_{0} y_{\mathrm{bc}}\right) N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(y_{\mathrm{bc}}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+ \\
& \left(s_{0} x_{\mathrm{c}}-s_{0}^{y_{\mathrm{bc}}}\right) N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~b}} /\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(y_{\mathrm{bc}}-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+ \\
& \left(s_{0}-s_{0}{ }^{y_{\mathrm{bc}}}\right)\left[N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{l}-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right] / \\
& \left(1-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(1-y_{\mathrm{bc}}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& s_{\mathrm{abc}}=1-s_{0}-s_{\mathrm{a}}-s_{\mathrm{b}}-s_{\mathrm{c}}-s_{\mathrm{ab}}-s_{\mathrm{ac}}-s_{\mathrm{bc}}  \tag{16}\\
& x_{\mathrm{a}}=N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} k_{\mathrm{b}}+N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}} k_{\mathrm{c}}  \tag{17}\\
& x_{\mathrm{b}}=N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}+N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} k_{\mathrm{c}}  \tag{18}\\
& x_{\mathrm{c}}=N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}+N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~b}} k_{\mathrm{b}}  \tag{19}\\
& y_{\mathrm{bc}}=N_{\mathrm{bc}} k_{\mathrm{a}}  \tag{20}\\
& y_{\mathrm{ac}}=N_{\mathrm{ac}} k_{\mathrm{b}}  \tag{21}\\
& y_{\mathrm{ab}}=N_{\mathrm{ab}} k_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

number of substituted functional groups. Moreover, the principle of their superposition, e.g. $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ab}}=\Delta G_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}+$ $\Delta G_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$, is abandoned.

Numerical Analysis.-An ordinary solution of the integrated rate equations for the rate constants seems to be the most obvious way for their calculation, as was done e.g. by Staněk et al. ${ }^{7}$ for a bifunctional system. However, such a method allows only calculation for one experimental point corresponding e.g. to the final distribution of the reaction products. High accuracy measurements are therefore required to assure coherence of the results with other points on the kinetic curves. Moreover, parallel experiments are necessary to obtain additional information on the intermediate conversion rate, so that the solution is unique. The availability of the intermediate products in amounts sufficient for high precision kinetic measurements is therefore unavoidable.

Another possibility consists in evaluation of complete kinetic curves by the least squares method. However, the complexity of the problem increases exponentially with the number of functional groups $f$. For example

[^2] Carbohydrate Res., 1974, 36, 273.
the number of possible reaction components is a binomial function $2^{f}$. The number of parameters describing the system at all increases even more quickly [equation (23)]. For instance a system of 12 equations
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=f 2^{f} / 2 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

comprising 12 unknowns (three rate constants, nine s.e. coefficients) must be solved for a trifunctional system. This would be an unusually tedious task.

Fortunately, such a system can be split in two, comprising six and nine independent equations, respectively. Suffixes $i$ and $j=2,3$, or 4 denoting functional groups of methyl $\beta$-D-xyloside (the substrate of Kuhn methylation, chosen as an example) will be used further. The simplification consists in a condition that normal equations are solved for $k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ so that the fit of curves $s_{2}, s_{3}$, and $s_{4}$ is the best possible, instead of more rigorous requirement relating this condition to all values, $s_{23}, s_{24}$, and $s_{34}$ included. Such a simplification causes negligible departures of the theoretical curves $s_{23}, s_{24}$, and $s_{34}$ and agreement with experiment is good as shown later.

The first six normal equations take the general forms (24) and (25) where equation (26) applies, $\bar{s}_{n i}$ being the

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \partial Q_{n i} / \partial k_{j}^{\prime}=0  \tag{24}\\
\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \partial Q_{n i} / \partial x_{j}=0  \tag{25}\\
(j=2,3, \text { or } 4) \\
Q_{n i}=\left(\bar{s}_{n i}-s_{n i}\right)^{2} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

experimental value for the $n$th measurement, $s_{n i}$ the corresponding theoretical value [equations (10)-(15)], and $m$ the total number of experimental points. Lest the expressions are too complicated we avoid double summations by solving the system for a particular case, $m=1$.

Taking into account relationships (7)-(9), (10)-(12), and (26), the partial derivative in (24) can be expressed as in (27).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial Q_{i} / \partial k_{j}^{\prime}=\left(\partial Q_{i} / \partial s_{i}\right)\left(\partial s_{i} / \partial k_{i}\right)\left(\partial k_{i} / \partial k_{j}^{\prime}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Owing to the two degrees of freedom which follow from the arbitrary values of the $N$ coefficients [equations (17)-(19)], $x_{i}$ is independent of $k_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ may therefore be considered as a linear function of $k_{i}$. Performing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial s_{i} / \partial k_{i}=s_{i} / k_{i} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

partial derivations of the right hand side terms of (27) we obtain (29) and (30) in accord with (7)-(9), (26), and (28). Insertion of (29) and (30) in (24) provides (32) after

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\partial Q_{i} / \partial k_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{i \neq j} & =2\left(\bar{s}_{i}-s_{i}\right) s_{i} / k^{\prime}  \tag{29}\\
\partial Q_{j} / \partial k_{j}^{\prime} & =-2\left(\bar{s}_{j}-s_{j}\right) s_{j}\left(\mathbf{1}-k_{j}\right) / k_{j} k^{\prime}  \tag{30}\\
k^{\prime} & =k_{2}^{\prime}+k_{3}^{\prime}+k_{4}^{\prime} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

rearrangement. Apparently, the right-hand side of (32)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{s}_{j}-s_{j}\right) s_{j} / k_{j}=\sum_{i=2}^{4}\left(s_{i}-s_{i}\right) s_{i} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $j$; returning to a general case $m>1$ for $j=2,3$, or 4 , we get equation (33). The general form of the next three normal equations follows from (10)-(12)

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\bar{s}_{n 2}-s_{n 2}\right) s_{n 2} / k_{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\bar{s}_{n 3}-s_{n 3}\right) s_{n 3} / k_{3} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\bar{s}_{n 4}-s_{n 4}\right) s_{n 4} / k_{\mathbf{4}} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and (25). Two equations of type (33) and three of (34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\bar{s}_{j}-s_{n j}\right)\left(s_{n j}+k_{j} s_{n 0} x_{j} \ln s_{n 0}\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with the trivial relation (35) complete the system of six independent equations with six unknowns.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normal equations for disubstituted species may be obtained by analogy. Substituting (37) in (36), taking

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\partial Q_{i j} / \partial N_{i, j}\right)_{n} & =\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\partial Q_{i j} / \partial N_{j, i}\right)_{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\partial Q_{i j} / \partial N_{i j}\right)_{n}=0  \tag{36}\\
Q_{i j} & =\left(\bar{s}_{i j}-s_{i j}\right)^{2} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

into account equations (13)-(15) we obtain (38)-(40).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left[\left(\bar{s}_{i j}-s_{i j}\right) \partial s_{i j} / \partial N_{i, j}\right]_{n}=0  \tag{38}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left[\left(\bar{s}_{i j}-s_{i j}\right) \partial s_{i j} / \partial N_{j, i}\right]_{n}=0  \tag{39}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left[\left(\bar{s}_{i j}-s_{i j}\right) \partial s_{i j} / \partial N_{i j}\right]_{n}=0 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Both (38) and (39) are linear relations between $N_{i, j}$ and $N_{j, i}$. Equation (40) represents $N_{i j}$ as a quadratic function of $N_{i, j}$ or $N_{j, i}$.
and $N_{b, c}$ are determined by (17) and (18). The remaining pair of the first degree s.e. coefficients $N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}}$ and $N_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}}$ may be found from (19) and either (38) or (39). After all $N_{\mathrm{ac}}$ and $N_{\mathrm{bc}}$ are unambiguously given by equations of the type (40). Obviously, three equations of the type (40), two of (39), one (38) together with (17)-(19) form a system of nine independent relations, wherefrom all the s.e. coefficients may be calculated.

Application to Experimental Results.-Because of the comprehensive data on Kuhn methylation of methyl


Figure 1 Kuhn methylation of methyl $\beta$-D-xyloside: molar fractions of monosubstituted species $s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}$ respectively, plotted against the mean conversion degree. Points, experimental results from Table III of ref. 8; full lines, values listed in the Table; dashed lines, values for the ratio $k_{2}: k_{3}: k_{4}=$ $0.3907: 0.2803: 0.329$, s.e. disregarded
$\beta$-D-xylopyranoside (Table III of ref, 8) even for an advanced state of reaction, complete information concerning the character of the s.e. may be obtained for this reaction. Numerical analysis resulted in a set of rate constants and s.e. coefficients. Their values are listed in the Table along with the related values of free activation energy changes. Theoretical course of the reaction corresponding to these values is compared with experimental values by the full lines in Figures 1-3, where molar fractions of various species are plotted

Parameters determining relative reactivity of functional groups of methyl $\beta$-D-xylopyranoside during Kuhn methylation ${ }^{8}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hydroxy- } \\ & \text { group } \\ & 2-\mathrm{OH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kinetic } \\ \text { rate } \\ \text { constant } \\ 0.4032 \end{gathered}$ | S.e. coefficients |  | Increment of the free activation energy at 298 K (cal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Second degree | Third degree | Second degree | Third degree |
|  |  | $N_{3.2} 0.8136$ | $N_{34} \infty$ | $\Delta G_{3,2} 122$ | $\Delta G_{34}-\infty$ |
|  |  | $N_{4,2} 1.45$ |  | $\Delta G_{4.2} 220$ |  |
| $3-\mathrm{OH}$ | 0.261 | $N_{2.3}^{4,2} 0.5656$ | $N_{24} 0.5517$ | $\Delta G_{2.3} 337$ | $\Delta G_{24} 352$ |
|  |  | $N_{4,3} 0.2316$ |  | $\Delta G_{4,3} 866$ |  |
| $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 0.3358 | $N_{2,4} 1.2736$ | $N_{23} 0.9643$ | $\Delta G_{2,4}-143$ | $\Delta G_{23} 21.5$ |
|  |  | $N_{3.4} 0.4787$ |  | $\Delta G_{3.4} 436$ |  |

Solving the system of (38)-(40) we find three constants, say $N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}, N_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}}$, and $N_{\mathrm{ab}}$. Following values $N_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}$
${ }^{8}$ Yu. S. Ovodov and E. V. Evtuschenko, Carbohydrate Res., 1973, 2\%, 169.
against the mean substitution degree $S$ given by equation (41). The best approximation made possible by ordinary

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left(s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}+2 s_{23}+2 s_{24}+2 s_{34}+3 s_{234}\right) / 3 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

rate equations (with the s.e. disregarded) is compared with the same figures on dashed lines corresponding to the ratio $k_{2}: k_{3}: k_{4}=0.3907: 0.2803: 0.3290$. A strik-


Figure 2 Kuhn methylation of methyl $\beta$-D-xyloside: molar fractions of disubstituted species $s_{23}, s_{24}, s_{34}$ respectively, plotted against the mean conversion degree. Points, experimental results from Table III of ref. 8; full lines, values listed in the Table; dashed lines, values for the ratio $k_{2}: k_{3}: k_{4}=$ $0.3907: 0.2803: 0.329$, s.e. disregarded
ing deviation is shown by the curve $s_{24}$ and also $s_{34}$. The latter should be identical with the ordinate axis.

Obviously, the experimental results show clearly the shortcomings of a three- or four-parameter system of


Figure 3 Kuhn methylation of methyl $\beta$-D-xyloside: molar fractions of parent $s_{0}$ and fully substituted species $s_{234}$ respectively, plotted against the mean conversion degree. Points, experimental results from Table III of ref. 8; full lines, values listed in the Table; dashed lines, values for the ratio $k_{2}: k_{3}: k_{4}$ $=0.3907: 0.2803: 0.329$, s.e. disregarded
rate equations which is insufficient especially at higher degrees of substitution.
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